



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,782	12/16/2003	Kuei Tang Chou	FP9915	4031
759	03/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Kuei Tang Cho PO Box 82-144	ou		KAUFMAN,	JOSEPH A
Taipei,		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,782	CHOU, KUEI TANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
S Patent and Trademark Office	-,					

Application/Control Number: 10/735,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9, line 18, numeral "55" is not shown in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 3, there is a lack of antecedent basis for the liquid soap squeezer. This renders the claim confusing as it is unclear if the claim should positively set forth the squeezer or depend from claim 3.

In claim 5, it is unclear whether the case or backboard has the flaps, sides and hanger.

In claim 7, line 4, there is a lack of antecedent basis for the liquid soap squeezer. This renders the claim confusing as it is unclear if the claim should positively set forth the squeezer or depend from claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comstock et al. in view of Maddox.

Comstock et al. shows multiple reservoirs 20; cover 28; outlet 112; couplers 54, and the back walls of the reservoirs; coupling base/board 26; coupling holes 48; squeezer 22; hose/lower base 84a; hangers 52, 50; locking flaps 56, 60; back hanger seen in Figure 7; and axel 62-64. Comstock lacks the automatic actuation features for the dispensing mechanism. Maddox shows control circuitry 152 (chips, diodes etc.) including sensor 42, LED's 156, 158, 160, and window/transparent cap 62. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hands-free dispensing system on the device of Comstock et al. as taught by Maddox in order reduce stress on the actuating system, promote hygiene of the device and user, and provide an indicator where to place the hands to receive the soap (see columns 1 and 2 of Maddox).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee et al., Matthews, Studer et al., Haase et al., Chen et al., and Fender show other soap dispsers; and Fan shows a multiple container dispensing system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

oseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jak

February 28, 2005